

The state of technology in the Freezone

For a very long time I have been searching for the true tech to go free and recover full abilities as a thetan. Also a long time ago I adopted, a personal policy to keep my lines open for any pertinent information be it pro or contra my cherished beliefs and convictions. Investigating contradictory data had in my experience been a fruitful way of discovering new things.

In spring 1970 while I was studying psychology at the University of Utrecht I accidentally found Dianetics and Scientology. I became part of the staff of the first group in Holland led by Otto Roos. In 1972 I joined the Sea Org and over the years trained up to Class IX Auditor as well as Solo CS and Review Auditor, which as Pierre Ethier recently pointed out is the next to longest auditor course in. Scientology.

In August 2003 I started a Ron's Org in the Netherlands after getting acquainted briefly with the tech developments of Capt. Bill Robertson. In June I found out for the first time that the tech existed and was delivered in its proper form also outside the church and they were not all squirrels as I had been told.

The tech in the Ron' s Org Frankfurt was for all I could see, As good if not better than what the Church had going. They had all the OT Level data up to OT III and NOTs intact. To my great surprise the CS there, Otfried was quite conversant with Power auditing. By 1996 it had become a rare occasion to find a colleague auditor even in the church who could audit Power. I was also particularly impressed with how OT II was handled by Capt. Bill on Dianetic Clears.

As Pre OT I started on Excalibur so finally had a chance to complete what I had started with NED for OTs many years earlier but could not get completed in the Church. All this made for some exciting times.

Technical alter-is

In the Ron's Org I learnt that the tech had been no longer Ron's tech since 1981 and I had adopted that stable datum. We found many alterations in books and bulletins etc.

Some time in 2004 I met Diplom Ing. Andreas Gross, a German scientologist. He proved to me that LRH was no longer in control of the church already much earlier then 1981 i.e. already in 1978. For this reason he had assumed that NED was not from LRH and he did act accordingly, using pre-NED Dianetics as given in the book: Dianetics Today.

In the autumn of the same year I met a scientologist from the USA. who told me he had audited many persons on Power who were (Dianetic) Clear and this was never a problem or had it adverse consequences. I was warned later by Ron's Org tech terminals and told that this man was a dangerous squirrel.

These were all brief meetings and as I was busy running an org and auditing and solo-ing and studying I did not pay much more attention.

Research

In solo I discovered that one of my basic purposes and lines of activity had been that of a researcher. And there were some areas of the tech that I wanted to study further as they had not been fully available when I did the SHSBC.

One was the area of GPMs and the other was the area of Dianetic Clears. I myself never went clear on dianetics yet I had received hundreds of hours as well as Expanded dianetics.

I went Clear on the Clearing Course which was then, prior to 1978, the only route to go clear.

Ron's Org technical standards

Since running the Ron's Org NL I had been declaring several clears as a matter of routine. In early 2005 I was called to a technical meeting of Ron's Org Senior CSes and was told to only declare a pc clear following a certain checklist and only with the approval of the Senior CS Frankfurt.

This was odd since for me establishing whether someone was clear or not was a simple matter, merely ascertaining the absence or presence of what is called a Clear cognition described in a confidential bulletin. Per Capt. Bill people could go clear at any point even on Objectives, This was similar to what I once read in the church. The point however is that there was no bulletin describing any of the criteria given in the checklist.

Freezone conferences

Attending various conferences one encounters many different viewpoints and people experimenting with processes etc.

At one such occasion I was listening to a speaker on Polar Dynamics in the UK, He mentioned that actual GPMs had been a line of research abandoned by LRH in the sixties.

Talking to Max Hauri Chairman of the ROC

Max found out some time ago that dianetics runs well on people above OT 8 or even on Dn Clears. He cancelled therefore the HCOB Dn forbidden.

He also noted the same outpoints regarding the Dianetic EP as described in HCOB Postulate off equals erasure. He only did not cancel it he said as there were also some good points in it.

Breakthrough

When someone sent me a copy of an early SHSBC Checksheet – one prior

to the one I had done – I embarked upon. a study of the subject of GPMs as given in that course.

But it wasn't until an email exchange with an IVV list member about GPMs that I realized what the essential difference between a Dianetic clear and a Scientology Clear is.

This is now written up in a series of evaluations done in October and proven out to be correct in actual practice.

See appendix:

1. NEW THEORY of the NEW GRADE CHART
2. NED vs STANDARD DIANETICS
3. DIANETIC CLEAR vs 'SCIENTOLOGY CLEAR'

Consequences

The above means we will be putting back in the LRH Bridge as it was prior to 1978 . The line up will be Dianetics, then Grades 0–IV, followed by Power and Power Plus, R6EW, Clearing Course and OT I–III.

State of materials in the Free Zone

We have all the CBR materials, the OT Levels up to III and NOTs, a lot of the lectures of LRH and all the books, course packs HCOB and HCOPL Files. However we are missing quite a few of the materials of Power, R6EW and Clearing Course.

We are also missing some key confidential SHSBC tapes. In view of the above it is of great importance to find these missing bits.

M. Love, Caspar

NEW THEORY of the NEW GRADE CHART

1 in a series of evaluations by CDR

Data: In 1981 New Era Dianetics was placed after Grade IV on the Classification and Gradation Chart this is in conflict with earlier LRH data. It has become a matter of (even court) record that LRHs signature under an issue does not always mean LRH has written or even seen it.

Tech Appl: Looking earlier in time for more basic data and comparing/evaluating bulletins against earlier bulletins and basics.

LRH Data: The following are quotes from bulletins and issues from 1950 1970

PAB 15

"... so long as the body remains thoroughly degraded, the task of rehabilitating the spirit connected with it is difficult at best. "

HCOB 12 JUNE 1970 PROGRAMMING OF CASES *"Give pc Scn Triples then do his Dianetics then fix up his hidden standard, " would be a series of crazy non-sequitur C/Ses. Nothing is connected to or proceeds from anything. That would be a dispersed program for sure. "*

" ...And a pc who is unflat on Dianetics will have out lower grades. Jumping processes on the Class Chart set the pc up to fall on his head later. "

HCO BULLETIN OF 22 APRIL 1969 DIANETICS vs SCIENTOLOGY

"Dianetics is Dianetics and Scientology is Scientology. They are separate subjects. They have in common certain tools like the E-Meter, TRs and auditor presence. But there it ends. Dianetics addresses the body. Scientology addresses the thetan. "

HCO B 23 APRIL 1969 Dianetics - BASIC DEFINITIONS

"BASIC BASIC—This belongs in Scientology. It is wholly beyond the scope c Dianetics. It means the most basic basic of all basics and results in clearing. It is found on the Clearing Course. If contacted or run before the pc was brought up through the Scientology Grades, he wouldn't be able to handle it anyway as experience has shown. So this is part of Scientology, not Dianetics."

HCOB 12 Dec 81, THEORY OF THE NEW GRADE CHART "...But wait, Scientology ARC Straight Wire and Grades were developed as an undercut to Dianetics.

=FALSEHOOD, CONTRARY DATA

HCOB 12 NOVEMBER 1981 GRADE CHART STREAMLINED FOR LOWER GRADES

"...So this has now been made real on the New Grade Chart. Lower Scientology Grades have been placed below NED.... "

=ALTERED SEQUENCE

Is history repeating itself?

HCOB 30 JUNE 1970R C/S Series 13R VIII ACTIONS

Amazingly, the reissue of Dianetics as Standard Dianetics caused about a dozen people (even in high places unfortunately) to at once assume that Dianetics wiped out any need for Power, Scientology Clearing or anything else! Even an unauthorized Policy Letter (not signed by me) and an HCO B (also not signed by me) gave this impression.

Conclusion: All data point towards the correct grade chart sequence being Dianetics then Grades.

NED vs STANDARD DIANETICS

2 in a series of evaluations by CDR

Waarder, October 24, 200:

The main changes of New Era Dianetics in 1978 were:

1. Redefinition of erasure i.e. postulate off=erasure
2. Redefinition of somatics
3. Drop of principle of unburdening (going through incidents once only) running with minimum TA

Note: Other changes are of minor importance, the NED Rundowns pre-assessment as such was already introduced as double/treble assessments in Expanded Dianetics in 1972.

Redefinition of erasure

There are several references by LRH on postulates:

THE DIANETIC AUDITOR'S BULLETIN Volume 2. No. 6 December 1951

Postulate Processing

" ...Behind most postulates, however, is an enormous amount of effort and emotion which may have to be run before the postulate can be contacted; or on occasion the effort may be run simultaneously with the postulates. Often, merely contacting the postulate collapses the emotion and effort tied into it.

If a postulate does not de-sensitize on first recall, ... Help the preclear to recall a decision again and again, or try to get an earlier one on the same subject. If he does not experience relief, there is an even earlier one on the same subject. Later postulates are lying as a sort of burden on the earlier ones. ..."

The above seems to confirm the idea of charge off= postulate off or vice verse.
But...

ADVANCED PROCEDURE AND AXIOMS
POSTULATES;

"... Postulates surrender just like any other lock, or , in engrams, just like any other perception in an engram.... "

HCOB 20 AUGUST AD 13 R3R - R3N THE PRECLEAR'S
POSTULATES

"... Any incident, -wherever it is on the track, contains postulates (comments, considerations, directions) made by the pc at that time. Thus in all incidents the pc's postulates must be called for and removed. "

And... HCOB 16 JUNE 1970 C/S Series 6 WHAT THE C/S IS DOING

"... Thus if you run out the force the words drop into insignificance. This is often how the pc gets cognitions: the words and meaning concealed in the engram are changing value and devaluating. The pc can then think clearly again on a subject previously pinned down by the force. Get the force out and the words take care of themselves and need no special handling."

"the meaning of things plays a secondary role in processing to forces. " Engrams, secondaries, locks all add up to mental masses, forces, energies, time, which express themselves in countless different ways such as pain, misemotion, feelings, old perceptions and a billion billion thought combinations buried in the masses as significances.

"A thetan can postulate or say or reason anything. Thus there is an infinity of significances."

In 1978 the Dianetic EP is redefined as "Postulate off equals Erasure". This suggests the first mention of a postulate is the ending signal and seems to imply there would only be one postulate per engram and that would be the only charge to be removed from the engram.

= **CONTRARY DATA, ALTERED IMPORTANCE**

HCOB 23 April 1969 *"Floating needles occur when a keyout occurs or -when an engram is erased"*

HCOB 14 MAY 1969 II FN AND ERASURE

"You can expect the rapid end sequence of (1) Erasure (2) FN (3)

Cognition in a well run standard Dianetic session. "

If it is only a matter of the postulate coming off as a cognition, then this is already covered in the above HCOB. And occurs after erasure.

In actual practice therefore, when the FN is obtained on a basic it will have erased and the postulate is then an 'after the fact' item.

Also see:

SCIENTOLOGY Issues 8-G—12-G [1952. ca. early December-15 March 1953]
New Data Doesn't Invalidate Early. Proven Techniques

Redefinition Of Somatics

A somatic used to be defined as awareness of a body; as in:

HCO BULLETIN OF 26 APRIL 1969 SOMATICS

By somatic is meant a pain or ache sensation and also wise/notion or even unconsciousness. There are a thousand different descriptive -words that could add up to a feeling. Pain, aches, dizziness, sadness -these are all FEELINGS. Awareness, pleasant or unpleasant, of a body is what we are trying to run in Dianetics.

All chains are held together by one similar feeling. That is a new discovery. Chains are not held together by narratives or personnel or locations. They are held together by FEELINGS. Thus we ASK FOR AND FOLLOW DOWN ONLY FEELINGS. Those can be aches, pains, sensations, misemotion - any FEELING.

In 1978 we get the revised HCOB 26 APRIL 1969R SOMATICS stating:

"All chains are held together by one similar A WARENESS. That is a new discovery. Chains are not held together by narratives or personnel or locations. They are held together by A BARENESSES. Thus when running R3RA (not Narrative R3RA)we ASK FOR AND FOLLOW DOWN ONLY AWARENESSES CONTAINED IN THE PREASSESSMENT LIST...."

"There are a thousand different descriptive words that could add up to an awareness. Pains, aches, dizziness, sadness, these are all awarenesses. Awareness, pleasant or unpleasant, of a thetan plus body is what we are trying to run in Dianetics. "

This is an alteration without explanation
=OMITTED EXPLANATION, ALTERED IMPORTANCE, ADDED
INAPPLICABLE

Despite the above altered definition; all the pre-assessment categories still fall under the heading of somatics as defined in 1969.

Drop of principle of unburdening (going through incidents once only) running with minimum TA

This is violating:

HCOB 16 JUNE 1970 C/S Series 6 WHAT THE C/S IS DOING

"The E-Meter records what force is being discharged in every slash, fall and blowdown. The amount of TA per session is the C/S's index of gain. ... The amount of significance recovered or realized by the pc only shows up as cognitions. As the TA works off the case, then one has two indicators:

- 1. There is needle and TA action.*
- 2. The pc cognites.*

One shows that force is coming off. Two shows that thought is releasing from force."

HCOB 23 APRIL 1969 II DN ERASURE HOW TO ATTAIN

"The rule is invariable—IF IT ISN'T ERASING OR IS GOING MORE SOLID AFTER TWICE THROUGH GET AN EARLIER ASSESSED SOMATIC AND RUN IT. "

Conclusion: NED itself doesn't contain any real important fundamental changes as compared to Standard Dianetics R3R. It appears to be an altered, repackaged version of Dianetics.

'DIANETIC CLEAR' vs 'SCIENTOLOGY CLEAR'

3 in a series of evaluations by CDR-Senior CS Ron's Org NL

Waarder, October 4, 2005

Hypothesis: There is a difference between Dianetic Clear and Sen Clear.

Data: It has become a matter of(even court) record that LRHs signature under an issue does not always mean LRH has written or even seen it.

Tech Data: comparing/evaluating bulletins against bulletins and basics.

HCOB 7 APRIL 1960 A NEW SUMMARY OF AUDITING

'The 1947 scale of wins was this: Get a pc to have pictures by any device. Get the pc to erase light locks. Get the pc to be more and more able to handle gradiently heavier bits of bank. When pc was fully confident, pc was clear.'

A Dianetic clear is the result of smooth, on gradient handling of pictures.

SHSBC 660816 - Releases and Clears

"And in the early days this was so good that a Dianetic Clear—we 're making them now, we 're making Dianetic Clears again. I've gotten two or three thank you despatches and things like that. We 're making Dianetic Clears. But of course a Dianetic Clear is actually merely a Release and unfortunate, but they strangely enough have approximately the same manifestations that are described in Book One. And the way we started making them again is we stopped overauditing. Man was making his Releases too well."

A Dianetic Clear is a release!

HCOB 29 July 1969 THE "ART" OF CASE SUPERVISION"

"...if you keep at it..." "...gradually the case runs deeper and deeper into the past and confronts heavier and heavier incidents. .. Finally the case begins to blow by inspection and, ideally has what is known as a "Clear cognition"."

"Scientology, dealing with the thetan and considerations, is now able to function with total bite. Power and R6EW really get the pc somewhere. The Clear and OT sections make him fly. And you have a real OT. "

A 'clear cognition' on Dianetics doesn't mean one has gone Clear

HCOB 25 JUNE 1970 Issue II C/S Series 12 GLOSSARY OF C/S TERMS

DIANETIC CLEAR:

There is such a state. It is not however attained by feeding people Scientology cognitions as was done in L.A. Only about 2% go actually Clear on Dianetics. A Dianetic Clear or any other Dianetic pc now goes on up through the grades of Scientology and onto the proper Clearing Course. The Dianetic Clear of Book I was clear of somatics. The Book I definition is correct. This is the End Phenomena of Dianetics as per the Class Chart and Book 1.

A Dianetic Clear is now, almost a year later an actual clear.

= CONTRARY DATA, FALSEHOOD

2%, no more, make Dianetic Clear accidentally. They still need Expanded Lower Grades to make Scientology Clear. Becoming a Dianetic Clear does not stop them from getting Power Processing. Modern Power is to its total End Phenomena. = OMITTED EXPLANATIONS

HCOB 24 SEPTEMBER 1978 IV THE STATE OF CLEAR

"... The State of Clear, when the person has erased his own pictures or bank, can occur prior to doing the Clearing Course. It can occur on Dianetic auditing, especially New Era Dianetics. Sometimes it has occurred on Goals Processing, and even on Objective Processes. ... "

= OMITTED EXPLANATION

What about keyed-out clear?

HCOB 2 Apr 65 THE ROAD TO CLEAR

"...this is a simulated Clear, we call it a "keyed-out Clear" quite properly. But it isn't a Clear, it's a release. The person has been released from his reactive mind. He still has that reactive mind but he is not in it. He is just released from it.

HCOB 24 SEPTEMBER 1978 III DIANETIC CLEAR

"The state of Clear can be achieved on Dianetics. I have now determined there is no such thing as Keyed-Out Clear. There is only a Dianetic Clear and he is a Clear. "

-DROPPED TIME, FALSEHOOD

then there is the matter of Natural Clears first announced:

HCOB 5 MARCH 1979R DIANETIC CLEAR FALSE DECLARES

"... Technically, a very few thetans have never been anything but Clear. These few didn 't "go Clear" on anything; they have simply always been Clear...."

This contradicts:

HCOB 18 June 1965 CLEAR AND OT BEHAVIOUR

"We know all the attributes of Clear and Operating Thetans.

In the history of this universe there has never been a true Clear or true OT.

" ...Every Clear ever encountered in this universe was a Keyed-out Clear—a Release. He still had all his bank, GPMs and engrams. They were simply keyed out and not influencing him. "

=CONTRARY DATA

But... There are different types of charges in the GPM

HCOB 20 AUGUST AD 13 R3R - R3N THE PRECLEAR'S POSTULATES

In my recent surveys of the Tone Arm and its relationship to auditing, it became apparent that three types of charge existed in a GPM.

1. Charge as an engram.

2. Charge as Reliable Items.

3. Charge as postulates.

All three must be removed from a GPM. Any incident, wherever it is on the track, contains postulates (comments, considerations, directions) made by the pc at that time. Thus in all incidents the pc 's postulates must be called for and removed.

The charges in the GPM as RI are not run in Dianetics

HCO B 23 APRIL 1969 Dianetics - BASIC DEFINITIONS "BASIC BASIC —
This belongs in Scientology. It is wholly beyond the scope of Dianetics. It means the most basic basic of all basics and results in clearing. It is found on the Clearing Course. If contacted or run before the pc was brought up through the Scientology Grades, he -wouldn't be able to handle it anyway as experience has shown. So this is part of Scientology, not Dianetics."
the Scientology Clear would have run those out on the Clearing Course.

HCOB 24 SEPTEMBER 1978 IV THE STATE OF CLEAR

"... The State of Clear, when the person has erased his own pictures or bank, can occur prior to doing the Clearing Course. It can occur on Dianetic auditing, especially New Era Dianetics. Sometimes it has occurred on Goals Processing, and even on Objective Processes. ... " =OMITTED DATA,
FALSEHOOD

Is history repeating itself?

HCOB 30 JUNE 1970R C/S Series 13R VIII ACTIONS

*Amazingly, the reissue of Dianetics as Standard Dianetics caused about a dozen people (even in high places unfortunately) to at once assume that Dianetics wiped out any need for Power, Scientology Clearing or anything else! Even an unauthorized Policy Letter (not signed by me) and an **HCO B** (also not signed by me) gave this impression.*

Having recently restudied the SHSBC Data on GPMs has convinced me utterly of the folly it is to believe that someone who cognites on running engrams has erased his GPMs.

Conclusion: Dn Clear is someone who had a 'clear cog' on Dianetics and released from the Basic Bank. A Scn Clear is someone who has erased his Basic Bank running out the CC GPMs.