

Originally composed in 2005-2007 by Caspar de Rijk, CI IX
RO NL Case Supervisor
TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF 24 OCTOBER 2005 -I

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 1

NEW THEORY of the NEW GRADE CHART

Observation: In 1981 New Era Dianetics was placed after Grade IV on the Classification and Gradation Chart. This is not in alignment with earlier LRH data.

Tech Application: Looking earlier in time for more basic data and comparing and evaluating bulletins against earlier bulletins and basics. (consistency)

Data: It has even become a matter of court record that LRH's signature under an issue does not guarantee that LRH has written or even seen it.
The following (in italics) are quotes from bulletins and issues from 1950 – 1970 and speak mainly for themselves:

PAB 15_

“... so long as the body remains thoroughly degraded, the task of rehabilitating the spirit connected with it is difficult at best.”

HCOB 12 JUNE 1970 PROGRAMMING OF CASES

“Give pc Scn Triples then do his Dianetics then fix up his hidden standard,” would be a series of crazy non-sequitur C/Ses. Nothing is connected to or proceeds from anything. That would be a dispersed program for sure.”

“...And a PC who is unflat on Dianetics will have out lower grades. Jumping processes on the Class Chart set the pc up to fall on his head later.”

HCOB 22 APRIL 1969 DIANETICS vs SCIENTOLOGY_

“Dianetics is Dianetics and Scientology is Scientology. They are separate subjects. They have in common certain tools like the E-Meter, TRs and auditor presence. But there it ends. Dianetics addresses the body. Scientology addresses the thetan.”

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 JUNE 1970 C/S Series 5 REPAIR EXAMPLE

DIANETICS Pcs audited only on Dianetics in missions and centers will make some astonishing physical and even mental improvements. The larger percentage will do so.

NEW GRADE CHART BULLETINS:

HCOB 12 Dec 81 , THEORY OF THE NEW GRADE CHART

“...But wait, Scientology ARC Straight Wire and Grades were developed as an undercut to Dianetics. ”

ARC Straightwire yes, but not grades=INCORRECTLY INCLUDED, CONTRARY DATA

HCOB 12 NOVEMBER 1981 GRADE CHART STREAMLINED FOR LOWER GRADES

“...So this has now been made real on the New Grade Chart. Lower Scientology Grades have been placed below NED...”

=ALTERED SEQUENCE

Is history repeating itself?

HCOB 30 JUNE 1970R C/S Series 13R VIII ACTIONS

“Amazingly, the reissue of Dianetics as Standard Dianetics caused about a dozen people (even in high places unfortunately) to at once assume that Dianetics wiped out any need for Power, Scientology Clearing or anything else! Even an unauthorized Policy Letter (not signed by me) and an HCO B (also not signed by me) gave this impression.”

Conclusion: All data point towards the correct grade chart sequence being Dianetics then Grades. This was the case on all Grade Charts issued prior to 1981. In current practice (2007) we have been using Dianetics effectively on the majority of cases starting in auditing as the first action. There are only a few exceptions (heavy out ruds, resistive cases) where a life repair or other action was needed.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF 24 OCTOBER 2005 -II

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 2

NEW ERA DIANETICS vs STANDARD DIANETICS

The main technical changes of New Era Dianetics in 1978 were:

1. Redefinition of erasure i.e. postulate off=erasure
2. Redefinition of somatics
3. Drop of principle of unburdening (going through incidents once only) running with minimum TA

Note: Other changes as in some commands are of minor importance, the NED Rundowns were more sophisticated ways of locating items to run, pre-assessment as such was already introduced as double/treble assessments in Expanded Dianetics in 1972.

1. Redefinition of erasure

There are several references by LRH on postulates:

THE DIANETIC AUDITOR'S BULLETIN Vol 2, No. 6, Dec 1951 Postulate Processing:

"... Behind most postulates, however, is an enormous amount of effort and emotion which may have to be run before the postulate can be contacted; or on occasion the effort may be run simultaneously with the postulates. Often, merely contacting the postulate collapses the emotion and effort tied into it.

If a postulate does not de-sensitize on first recall, Help the preclear to recall a decision again and again, or try to get an earlier one on the same subject. If he does not experience relief, there is an even earlier one on the same subject. Later postulates are lying as a sort of burden on the earlier ones."

The above seems to confirm the idea of charge off = postulate off or vice verse. But...

ADVANCED PROCEDURE AND AXIOMS (Nov 1951) re POSTULATES:

"... Postulates surrender just like any other lock, or, in engrams, just like any other perception in an engram."

HCOB 20 AUGUST 1963 R3R – R3N, THE PRECLEAR'S POSTULATES:

"Any incident, wherever it is on the track, contains postulates (comments, considerations, directions) made by the pc at that time.

Thus in all incidents the pc's postulates must be called for and removed."

And...

HCOB 16 JUNE 1970 C/S Series 6 WHAT THE C/S IS DOING:

"... Thus if you run out the force the words drop into insignificance. This is often how the pc gets cognitions: the words and meaning concealed in the engram are changing value and devaluating. The pc can then think clearly again on a subject previously pinned down by the force. Get the force out and the words take care of themselves and need no special handling.

The meaning of things plays a secondary role in processing to forces."

"Engrams, secondaries, locks all add up to mental masses, forces, energies, time, which express themselves in countless different ways such as pain, misemotion, feelings, old perceptions and a billion billion thought combinations buried in the masses as significances.

A thetan can postulate or say or reason anything. Thus there is an infinity of significances."

In 1978 the Dianetic EP is redefined as "Postulate off equals Erasure" (Ref: HCOB 16 Sep 78 *POSTULATE OFF EQUALS ERASURE*). This suggests the first mention of a postulate is the ending signal and seems to imply there would only be one postulate per engram and that would be the only charge to be removed from the engram.

= CONTRARY DATA, ALTERED IMPORTANCE

HCOB 23 APRIL 1969 BASIC DEFINITIONS:

"FLOATING NEEDLES occur when a key-out occurs or when an engram is erased"

HCOB 14 MAY 1969 II FN AND ERASURE:

"You can expect the rapid end sequence of (1) Erasure (2) F/N (3) Cognition in a well run standard Dianetic session."

If it is only a matter of the postulate coming off as a cognition, then this is already covered in the above HCOB. And occurs after erasure.

In actual practice therefore, when the FN is obtained on a basic it will have erased and the postulate is then an 'after the fact' issue.

Also see: SCIENTOLOGY Issues 8-G–12-G [1952, ca. early December-15 March 1953]
New Data Doesn't Invalidate Early, Proven Techniques

2. Redefinition Of Somatics

A somatic used to be defined as awareness of a body; as in:

HCOB 26 APRIL 1969 SOMATICS:

*"... By somatic is meant a pain or ache sensation and also misemotion or even unconsciousness. There are a thousand different descriptive words that could add up to a feeling. Pain, aches, dizziness, sadness – these are all **FEELINGS**. Awareness, pleasant or unpleasant, of a body is what we are trying to run in Dianetics.*

*All chains are held together by one similar feeling. That is a new discovery. Chains are not held together by narratives or personnel or locations. They are held together by **FEELINGS**. Thus we ASK FOR AND FOLLOW DOWN ONLY FEELINGS. Those can be aches, pains, sensations, misemotion – any **FEELING**."*

In 1978 we get the revised HCOB 26 APRIL 1969R SOMATICS stating:

*"All chains are held together by one similar **AWARENESS**. That is a new discovery. Chains are not held together by narratives or personnel or locations. They are held together by **AWARENESSES**. Thus when running R3RA (not Narrative R3RA) we ASK FOR AND FOLLOW DOWN ONLY **AWARENESSES** CONTAINED IN THE PREASSESSMENT LIST...."*

*"There are a thousand different descriptive words that could add up to an awareness. Pains, aches, dizziness, sadness, these are all **awarenesses**. Awareness, pleasant or unpleasant, of a thetan plus body is what we are trying to run in Dianetics."*

This is an alteration without explanation

=OMITTED EXPLANATION, ALTERED IMPORTANCE, ADDED INAPPLICABLE

Despite the above altered definition; all the pre-assessment categories still fall under the heading of somatics as defined in 1969.

3. Drop of principle of unburdening by going through incidents once only and running with minimum TA is violating:

HCOB 16 JUNE 1970 C/S Series 6 WHAT THE C/S IS DOING:

"The E-Meter records what force is being discharged in every slash, fall and blowdown. The amount of TA per session is the C/S's index of gain."

"The amount of significance recovered or realized by the pc only shows up as cognitions.

As the TA works off the case, then one has two indicators:

- 1. There is needle and TA action.*
- 2. The pc cognites.*

One shows that force is coming off. Two shows that thought is releasing from force."

HCOB 23 APRIL 1969 II DN ERASURE HOW TO ATTAIN:

"The rule is invariable—IF IT ISN'T ERASING OR IS GOING MORE SOLID AFTER TWICE THROUGH GET AN EARLIER ASSESSED SOMATIC AND RUN IT."

HCOB 20 AUGUST 1963 R3R - R3N, THE PRECLEAR'S POSTULATES:

"A stuck TA is always caused by running the pc above the pc's tolerance of charge. You can stop any TA by ramming the pc into incident after incident without cleaning them up. ..."

HCOB 1 MAY 1969 GRINDING OUT ENGRAMS:

"A pc not put through each incident on a chain twice before going earlier could get into grinding. The pc who is run through each incident once only before being sent earlier will certainly fail to get off enough charge to get earlier."

Conclusion:

NED introduced firstly an arbitrary into the subject of the Dianetic EPs and secondly a false datum regarding TA Action. It appears otherwise merely to be an altered, repackaged version of Dianetics without any fundamental change in R3R Procedure.

CI IX
RO NL Case Supervisor

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF 24 OCTOBER 2005 -III

Independent Scientists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 3

DIANETIC CLEAR vs SCIENTOLOGY CLEAR

Hypothesis: There is a difference between Dianetic Clear and Scientology Clear.

Tech Data: Here again, comparing/evaluating bulletins against bulletins and basics.(consistency)

HCOB 7 APRIL 1960 A NEW SUMMARY OF AUDITING

'The 1947 scale of wins was this: Get a pc to have pictures by any device. Get the pc to erase light locks. Get the pc to be more and more able to handle gradiently heavier bits of bank. When pc was fully confident, pc was clear.'

A Dianetic clear is the result of smooth, on gradient handling of pictures.

SHSBC 660816 - RELEASES AND CLEARS

"And in the early days this was so good that a Dianetic Clear—we're making them now, we're making Dianetic Clears again. I've gotten two or three thank-you despatches and things like that. We're making Dianetic Clears. But of course a Dianetic Clear is actually merely a Release and unfortunate, but they strangely enough have approximately the same manifestations that are described in Book One. And the way we started making them again is we stopped overauditing. Man was making his Releases too well."

A Dianetic Clear is a release!

HCOB 29 July 1969 THE "ART" OF CASE SUPERVISION"

"...if you keep at it..." "...gradually the case runs deeper and deeper into the past and confronts heavier and heavier incidents. ...Finally the case begins to blow by inspection and , ideally has what is known as a "Clear cognition".

"Scientology, dealing with the thetan and considerations, is now able to function with total bite. Power and R6EW really get the pc somewhere. The Clear and OT sections make him fly. And you have a real OT."

HCOB 25 JUNE 1970 Issue II C/S Series 12 GLOSSARY OF C/S TERMS DIANETIC CLEAR:

"There is such a state. It is not however attained by feeding people Scientology cognitions as was done in Only about 2% go actually Clear on Dianetics. A Dianetic Clear or any other Dianetic pc now goes on up through the grades of Scientology and onto the proper Clearing Course. The Dianetic Clear of Book I was clear of somatics. The Book I definition is correct. This is the End Phenomena of Dianetics as per the Class Chart and Book 1

...2%, no more, make Dianetic Clear accidentally. They still need Expanded Lower Grades to make Scientology Clear. Becoming a Dianetic Clear does not stop them from getting Power Processing. Modern Power is to its total End Phenomena."

A 'clear cognition' on Dianetics doesn't mean one has gone 'Scientology' Clear.

Eight years later, out of the blue

HCOB 24 SEPTEMBER 1978 IV THE STATE OF

“...The State of , when the person has erased his own pictures or bank, can occur prior to doing the Clearing Course. It can occur on Dianetic auditing, especially New Era Dianetics. Sometimes it has occurred on Goals Processing, and even on Objective Processes. ...”

= NOT ASSOCIATED (UNALIGNED DATA), OMITTED EXPLANATION

What about keyed-out clear?

HCOB 2 Apr 65 THE ROAD TO CLEAR

“...this is a simulated Clear, we call it a "keyed-out Clear" quite properly. But it isn't a Clear, it's a release. The person has been released from his reactive mind. He still has that reactive mind but he is not in it. He is just released from it.”

23 years later

HCOB 24 SEPTEMBER 1978 III DIANETIC CLEAR

“The state of Clear can be achieved on Dianetics. I have now determined there is no such thing as Keyed-Out Clear. There is only a Dianetic Clear and he is a Clear.”

=CONTRARY DATA, DROPPED TIME, FALSEHOOD

then there is the matter of Natural Clears first announced in:

HCOB 5 MARCH 1979R DIANETIC CLEAR FALSE DECLARES

“... Technically, a very few thetans have never been anything but Clear. These few didn't "go Clear" on anything; they have simply always been Clear....”

This contradicts:

HCOB 18 June 1965 CLEAR AND OT BEHAVIOUR

“We know all the attributes of Clear and Operating Thetans. In the history of this universe there has never been a true Clear or true OT.”

“...Every Clear ever encountered in this universe was a Keyed-out Clear—a Release. He still had all his bank, GPMs and engrams. They were simply keyed out and not influencing him.”

=CONTRARY DATA

Also... There are different types of charges in the GPM

HCOB 20 AUGUST AD 13 R3R – R3N THE PRECLEAR'S POSTULATES

In my recent surveys of the Tone Arm and its relationship to auditing, it became apparent that three types of charge existed in a GPM.

- 1. Charge as an engram.*
- 2. Charge as Reliable Items.*
- 3. Charge as postulates.*

All three must be removed from a GPM. Any incident, wherever it is on the track, contains postulates (comments, considerations, directions) made by the pc at that time. Thus in all incidents the pc's postulates must be called for and removed.

The charges in the GPM as RI are not run in Dianetics

HCO B 23 APRIL 1969 Dianetics - BASIC DEFINITIONS

“BASIC BASIC--This belongs in Scientology. It is wholly beyond the scope of Dianetics. It means the most basic basic of all basics and results in clearing. It is found on the Clearing Course. If contacted or run before the pc was brought up through the Scientology Grades, he wouldn't be able to handle it anyway as experience has shown. So this is part of Scientology, not Dianetics.”

the Scientology Clear would have run that out on the Clearing Course.

HCOB 24 SEPTEMBER 1978 IV THE STATE OF

“...The State of , when the person has erased his own pictures or bank, can occur prior to doing the Clearing Course. It can occur on Dianetic auditing, especially New Era Dianetics. Sometimes it has occurred on Goals Processing, and even on Objective Processes. ...”

=OMITTED DATA, FALSEHOOD

Is history repeating itself?

HCOB 30 JUNE 1970R C/S Series 13R VIII ACTIONS

Amazingly, the reissue of Dianetics as Standard Dianetics caused about a dozen people (even in high places unfortunately) to at once assume that Dianetics wiped out any need for Power, Scientology Clearing or anything else! Even an unauthorized Policy Letter (not signed by me) and an HCO B (also not signed by me) gave this impression.

Having recently restudied the SHSBC Data on GPMs has convinced me utterly of the folly it is to believe that someone who cognites on running engrams has erased his GPMs.

Conclusion: A Dianetic Clear is someone who had a 'clear cog' on Dianetics and released from the Basic Bank. A Scientology Clear is someone who has erased his Basic Bank running out the CC GPMs.

TECHNICAL BRIEFING OF 31 JANUARY 2006

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 4

REVERTING TO THE ORIGINAL LRH BRIDGE

We have been from the start in August 2003 a Ron's Org, set up by and structured after the Ron's Org in and have received their technical guidance.

Since that time we have been using the New Grade chart version 1981, where Dianetics in the form of NED was put after Grade IV and Dianetic Auditor Training was positioned after Class IV.

Over the following years technically some doubts arose as to the validity of several Dianetic Clears and the wisdom of training auditors on Scientology before Dianetic training (contrary to earlier LRH Advices).

Technical alter-is

In the Ron's Org I learned that the tech had been no longer Ron's tech since 1981 and I had adopted that stable datum. We found last year however that with LRH in very limited contact with the church, the alter-is had already started much earlier like in 1978 with the introduction of NED.

Research

I myself went Clear on the Clearing Course which was , prior to 1978, the only route to go clear. For various reasons I embarked upon a research project last year to find out more about the differences between Dianetic Clear and Scientology Clear.

The technical details were written up in a series of evaluations in October 2005 and proven out to be correct in actual practice.

See Original Bridge Series 1 – 3

I New Theory Of The New Grade Chart

II NED vs Standard Dianetics

III Dianetic Clear vs Scientology Clear

The conclusion was reached that although there is a state called Dianetic Clear it is distinctly different from Scientology Clear.

Grade Chart Line-up

The above means that we are putting back the as it was prior to 1978.

The line up will again be Dianetics, then Grades 0-IV, followed by Power and Power Plus, R6EW, Clearing Course and OT I- III.

The Ron's Org in the Netherlands is now specializing in what used to be called the

alternative route to Clear.

It means that for Dianetic Clears who have completed the Lower Grades 0-IV, Grade V Power Auditing will be their next step. If any Dianetic Clear insists on doing OT I they will be referred to Ron's Org Frankfurt.

On the training side we demand training on the re-instated Dianetic Auditor Course based on my old 1970 Checksheet as a prerequisite to Academy Training.

The Dianetic Auditor Course is a great deal simpler than NED. There are no prerequisites. This is possible as the course is built on an easy gradient containing all the needed (elementary) study tech, TRs and Metering as part of the Checksheet.

TECHNICAL DIRECTIVE OF 20 MARCH 2006

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 5

REACTIVE MIND, DEFINITION OF

It has been found that the definition of Reactive Mind as given in the current Tech Dictionary is incomplete. As this is a key point in what we are doing in Ron's Org in the Netherlands and has everything to do with the recent actions of reinstating the Original Bridge it is here given as a matter of (historical) record.

The Tech dictionaries since their first publication in 1975 have rendered the following definition:

REACTIVE MIND, 1. a portion of a person's mind which works on a totally stimulus-response basis, which is not under his volitional control, and which exerts force and the power of command over his awareness, purposes, thoughts, body and actions. Stored in the reactive mind are engrams, and here we find the single source of aberrations and psychosomatic ills. (Scn 0-8, p. 11)

The Scientology Abridged Dictionary published in 1969 gives the correct definition:

REACTIVE MIND:

that portion of a person's mind which works on a stimulus-response basis (given a certain stimulus, it gives a certain response) which is not under his volitional control and which exerts force and the power of command over his awareness, purposes, thoughts, body and actions. It consists of GPMs, Engrams, Secondaries and Locks.

The missing item as is easily seen above, is GPMs. GPM means Goal Problem The Scn A.D. (Scientology Abridged Dictionary) which was in use when I came into Scientology in 1970 reads as follows:

“A GPM is composed of mental masses and significances which have an exact pattern, unvarying from person to person, whose significances dictate a certain type of behavior and whose masses, when pulled in on the individual, cause psychosomatic effects, such as illnesses, pains or feelings of heaviness and tiredness. ”

The omitted part of the definition is the core of the Reactive Mind and is fully handled as you go up the Bridge (original bridge: incl. Power, R6 EW and the Clearing Course) to the State of and beyond.

References: Original Bridge Series 4

TECHNICAL DIRECTIVE OF 20 MARCH 2006

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 6

THE ORIGINAL BRIDGE LINE-UP

Additional services may be delivered, but these are the fundamental ones

TRAINING	AUDITING
CLASS VIII	OT I – OT VIII
CLASS VII Power Auditor	CLEARING COURSE
CLASS VI SHSBC	GRADE VI R6 EW
CLASS V SHSBC	SOLO COURSE
(Saint Hill Special Briefing Course)	POWER PLUS
EXPANDED DIANETICS	POWER
CLASS IV - Academy Level IV	GRADE IV
CLASS III - Academy Level III	GRADE III
CLASS II - Academy Level II	GRADE II
CLASS I - Academy Level I	GRADE I
CLASS 0 - Academy Level 0	GRADE 0
DIANETIC AUDITOR COURSE (DAC)	DIANETIC RELEASE
HQS Adv Comm Crse	ARC STRAIGHWIRE
HAS Comm Crse	LIFE REPAIR/SET UPS/ASSISTS (Opt)
Intro Courses	DETOX/CLEANSING/PURIFICATION RD

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTIVE OF 31 MARCH 2006

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 7

NEW ACADEMY LEVEL TRAINING PREREQUISITES

We have all observed the fact that we have not graduated anywhere near the number of auditors that we had expected to, when we started in 2003.

And for auditors we did train, the internship was never gotten off the ground.

Recently we became aware of the skipped gradient in the training line-up. It was found that early LRH advices on training had been deviated from to the detriment of the quality of auditor training.

The old man said:

“No auditor will ever be worth very much unless he has come in the right way – through Dianetics. The concept of physical and mental difficulty stemming from a mental image picture was a great discovery and the technology of erasing such pictures as developed must not be lost in our trained Scientologists. This very instant I know of 3 cases with whom I am in daily contact whose whole lives would be changed by finding and running the incident necessary to solve the case. I have seen a woman who looked 60 appear 20 after 9 hours of auditing out a single secondary (the recent death of her husband).” ...

...The whole answer to the mind is mental pictures and masses created by the thetan. There is no other source or cause of aberration. Unless a student knows this he will never make a good auditor and Scientologist. The only early way to get a reality on it is to audit secondaries and engrams and be audited through them. One does encounter all this phenomena by the time one is a Grade VII even though not audited on Dianetics. But students beginning their training are not Grade VII. And unless they have actually audited or been audited on secondaries and engrams they will never, even though Grade VII, really have a reality on why people act as they do or the complex nature of the bank.

...And we have the gigantic problem amongst us that Scientology works too fast in an auditor's hands and forbids him to overrun a result. Therefore how can he ever learn to audit? He can't, running Scientology, as he'll never get enough practice on live pcs.

...Dianetics, however, has a virtue we never would have called one in 1950. It is slow. You can grind away on a secondary for hours. You can one by one whittle down a chain of related engrams for days, even weeks. You can audit a pc for a long, long time. And you can get auditing practice.

in HCOB 3 April 1966 Dianetic Auditing Course.

So we will do as the old man said. As of the first of April 2006 the prerequisite to Academy training at the Ron's Org NL is the Dianetic Auditing Course.

Note: Grade VII= is now attained on the Clearing Course

TECHNICAL DIRECTIVE OF 20 MARCH 2006

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 8

GRADE V – POWER RELEASE AND ABOVE

We have seen in Original Bridge Series 4 that Grade V, VI and the Clearing Course is the Bridge section that was missing in the 'New Grade Chart' Line up of 1981 forward.

There are several stages of release:

RELEASE:

1. a series of gradual key-outs. At any given one of those key-outs the individual detaches from the remainder of his Reactive Bank. (SH Spec 65 6507C27)

2. a person whose Reactive Mind is keyed out and is not influencing him. There are eight Grades of Release—they are, from the lowest to the highest:

Grade 0—Communication Release,

Grade I—Problems Release,

Grade II—Relief Release,

Grade III—Freedom Release,

Grade IV—Ability Release,

Grade V—Power Release,

Grade —Power Plus Release,

Grade VI—Whole Track Release.

Each is a distinct and definite step toward greater levels of awareness and ability. (Scn A.D.)

Grades 0 – IV are called the lower levels of release. What follows is a brief description of what these upper release levels are all about.

Grade V

This brief article by L. Ron Hubbard, as given here under Grade V, was originally published under the title: "Gain the ability to handle Power "

What is Power? How do you get it? How do you control it so it doesn't backfire or disappear?

Man has searched for the answers to these questions for eons. History books are glutted with tales of political intrigue and gory battles fought to capture that elusive goal, power.

But, has anyone ever really gained power with a sword or a gun? Can a person maintain power, even if it's given to him, if he knows not how to use it?

Real power comes from being oneself. When a person has knowledge and certainty of himself and can hold his position, then he is truly powerful. But how can a person hold his own position when the reactive mind works against him, making him forget his true goals and his true identity?

The answer exists on Power Processing. The Power Processes are a major step towards getting rid of the Reactive Mind.

These knock out all factors of the track that force a person back into the R6 Bank (the Reactive Mind) and leave the person able to go into or get out of the R6 Bank easily. The person ceases to respond like a Homo sapiens and has a

fantastic capability to learn and act.

L. Ron Hubbard”

On Power you'll find out what the forces are that drive a person back into his bank and you'll become free from their bad effects. What is more you'll find yourself on the first of the confidential grades of Scientology. From Power on up through Clear and OT the processes used are confidential.

Grade VA

Let's quote LRH once again:

“Once a pc has begun to come out of his bank, he either continues to come out or goes back in a bit. He (or she) does not remain in status quo (unchanging state) while a Release. Early on my pcs went keyed out clear and went away. They stayed that way a long time.

They were sure they had attained the zenith.

Today we are going to have the same problem.

Bits and pieces of the whole track remain after the locks, secondaries and engrams are reduced. These bits inhibit the Being from recovering knowledge.

To obtain a Grade VA Release one has to tackle the Beings, places and subjects one has long detested. And when these are gone, one isn't likely to be called back into the Reactive Mind very soon, as bits of his daily life don't remind him of Beings, places and subjects he once detested.

Now understand, at each of these stages one has to go unrelease to make it to the next stage of release. This requires guts – and faith. One is feeling GRAND. The world is beautiful. The unbrave get nervous at the thought of diving back into the asphalt.

Add to all this that one has a present time, and a body to receive the slings and arrows and one sees that it is a complex picture.

But we have the way. It is the way. Many will come along selling the frightening idea one can leap up through the numbers without pain or toil or Clearing by flexing one's chest or eating wheaties or praying. But that isn't the WAY. There's no bridge there.

The main point that will be stumbled on is this: Nobody has any real reality on how high up these states are or how utterly tall a Clear really is.”

Solo Auditing

After appropriate training to Level VI, the auditing of Grade VI and VII processes on oneself (when one is both the auditor and preclear) with the aid of an E-meter in order to reach the states of Grade VI Release and Clear. (Scn A.D.)

Grade VI (R6EW)

R6EW:

Routine 6 End Words: The process used in Solo-auditing to release oneself from Lock End Words and thus become a Grade VI—Whole Track Release. (Scn A.D.)

LOCK END WORDS:

words that are not in the GPM's but which are close in meaning to significances that are part of the GPM's. They keep large parts of the Reactive Mind in

restimulation. (Scn A.D.)

GPM:

means Goal Problem A GPM is composed of mental masses and significances which have an exact pattern, unvarying from person to person, whose significances dictate a certain type of behavior and whose masses, when pulled in on the individual, cause psychosomatic effects, such as illnesses, pains or feelings of heaviness and tiredness. (Scn A.D.)

Grade VII or Scientology Clear

GPM:

means Goal Problem A GPM is composed of mental masses and significances which have an exact pattern, unvarying from person to person, whose significances dictate a certain type of behavior and whose masses, when pulled in on the individual, cause psychosomatic effects, such as illnesses, pains or feelings of heaviness and tiredness. (Scn A.D.)

REACTIVE MIND:

that portion of a person's mind which works on a stimulus-response basis (given a certain stimulus, it gives a certain response) which is not under his volitional control and which exerts force and the power of command over his awareness, purposes, thoughts, body and actions. It consists of GPMs, Engrams, Secondaries and Locks. (Scn A.D.)

CLEAR, noun. 1. a thetan who can be at cause knowingly and at will over mental matter, energy, space and time as regards the first dynamic (survival for self). The state of Clear is above the release grades (all of which are requisite to clearing) and is attained by completion of the Clearing Course at an Advanced Organization. (ScnAD)

This is now also possible outside the COS Advanced Orgs , in our case at Ron's Org in Waarder.

References: Original Bridge Series 0 – 8

References:

Dianetics Modern Science of Mental Health

The Ridge on the Bridge, LRH ED 344R INT March 10, 1982, rev. Oct 21, 1982

HCOB 3 April 1966 The Dianetic Auditor Course

DAC= Abbreviation for Dianetic Auditing Course

TECHNICAL CONCEPT LETTER 1 MAY 2006

Independent Scientologists
RO NL Public

Original Bridge Series 9LRH/ PROPRIETARY/ STANDARD/ SCIENTOLOGY TECH -
COMPARATIVE DEFINITIONS

What does *Scientology Technology* consist of? Is it strictly the technology developed by L. Ron Hubbard. Are we considering here only personally developed by *LRH technology* or are we including discoveries made by others and that LRH coordinated or wrote up or simply published under his name? What about technology based on LRH axioms or derived from LRH basics such as Capt. Bill Robertson's works and bridge? Or even derivatives of CBR work? Are inventions by other Freezone Scientologists included?

Was it an exclusive one man show or has the technology been contributed to by many?

How much was thought of by John Galusha, how much did Otto Roos (OJR) contribute in writing the Ls HCOBs? What about Tony Dunleavy and Brian Livingstone who wrote many of the Standard Dianetics Bulletins. How much was Mayo's in writing the NOTs materials. Who actually wrote the NED and Dianetic clear stuff?

There is a certain difficulty in determining what is LRH technology and what isn't. It has become a matter of court record even that LRHs signature under an issue does not always mean LRH has written or even seen it. Some issues containing LRH technology were written by others.

CST and RTC claim to have a monopoly on the actual LRH technology which they assert is only pure and authentic Scientology Technology, when it has the RTC Brand or is proprietary LRH technology.

In 1965 several years before the advent of *standard technology*, in HCOPL Keeping Scientology Working LRH himself states:

“We have some time since passed the point of achieving *uniformly workable technology*. The only thing now is getting the technology applied...

Our technology has not been discovered by a group. True, if the group had not supported me in many ways I could not have discovered it either. But it remains that if in its formative stages it was not discovered by a group, then group efforts, one can safely assume, will not add to it or successfully alter it in the future. I can only say this now that it is done. There remains, of course, group tabulation or co-ordination of what has been done, which will be valuable – only so long as it does not seek to alter basic principles and successful applications.

The contributions that were worthwhile Discovery contribution was not however part of the broad picture.

We will not speculate here on why this was so or how I came to rise above the bank. We are dealing only in facts and the above is a fact – the group left to its own devices would not have evolved Scientology but with wild dramatization of the bank called “new ideas” would have wiped it out.” From HCO POLICY LETTER OF 7 FEBRUARY 1965

A research coordinator – one man - is vital to integration of research efforts into a whole philosophy, theory or other work. That role was undoubtedly taken by L. Ron Hubbard. It may be so that the early D. Scns, John Galusha, John Mc Master, Filbert a.o. did discover things. The one evaluating it and putting it altogether and published it was LRH.

Scientology technology could loosely be defined as that body of technology in use by Scientologists in the broad sense. But we want to know what is the definitive technology that will help set us free become OT! Does it have to have the LRH Brand really?

In 1968 LRH himself solves this point of future argument by defining what is standard tech.

The following definitions are contained in the Class VIII Course and were published in 1975 in the tech dictionary.

STANDARD TECH

1. a standardization of processes so that they apply to 100 per cent of the cases to which they are addressed. (Class VIII, No. 19)
2. the accumulation of those exact processes which make a way between humanoid and OT, the exact method of organizing them, the exact method of delivering them, and the exact repair of any errors made on that route. (Class VIII, No. 2)
3. that terribly narrow path which we now call standard tech is composed of those things which if they are out inhibit and prohibit all case gain. (Class VIII, No. 1)
- 4 . that tech which has absolutely no arbitraries. (HCOB 23 Aug 68)

Arbitrary: 1. something which is introduced into the situation without regard to the data of the situation. (SH Spec 83, 6612C06)

Note that in the above definitions there is no source mentioned. On the contrary making standard tech dependent on a particular source would for sure be an arbitrary.

We used to say *LRH technology* is standard tech, but since about August 1968 this is no longer the case. Proof is the fact that others wrote bulletins that are part and parcel of standard tech. A typical example is HCOB 6 May 1969 II Routine 3-R Revised Engram running by chains, which was written by Brian Livingstone

Note that the return to the original bridge was only to comply with definition 2 above.

SPEED AND GAINS

“Standard tech is how to achieve maximum velocity, maximum gain in processing and what are the real importances in processing. Class VIII tape #19,p17

This last quote gives us even a direction to go in developing technical approaches that accomplish speed and maximum gains. I fully trust that in the future we will see new developments that do just that.

Copyright Note: This work is licenced under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-NoDerivs 2.0 Netherlands License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.0/uk/> or send a letter to Creative Commons.